

MADRID  
2023

ESMO

congress

# Datopotamab deruxtecan (Dato-DXd) vs docetaxel in previously treated advanced/metastatic (adv/met) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Results of the randomized phase 3 study TROPION-Lung01

Myung-Ju Ahn,<sup>1,a</sup> [Aaron Lisberg](#),<sup>2,a,b</sup> Luis Paz-Ares,<sup>3</sup> Robin Cornelissen,<sup>4</sup> Nicolas Girard,<sup>5</sup> Elvire Pons-Tostivint,<sup>6</sup> David Vicente Baz,<sup>7</sup> Shunichi Sugawara,<sup>8</sup> Manuel Angel Cobo,<sup>9</sup> Maurice Pérol,<sup>10</sup> Céline Mascaux,<sup>11</sup> Elena Poddubskaya,<sup>12</sup> Satoru Kitazono,<sup>13</sup> Hidetoshi Hayashi,<sup>14</sup> Jacob Sands,<sup>15</sup> Richard Hall,<sup>16</sup> Yong Zhang,<sup>17</sup> Hong Zebger-Gong,<sup>18</sup> Deise Uema,<sup>17</sup> Isamu Okamoto<sup>19</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Equal contribution as first author. <sup>b</sup>Indicates presenting author.

<sup>1</sup>Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea; <sup>2</sup>David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, CNIO-H120 Lung Cancer Unit, Universidad Complutense & CiberOnc, Madrid, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Institut Curie, Paris, France; <sup>6</sup>Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, Nantes, France; <sup>7</sup>Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain; <sup>8</sup>Sendai Kousei Hospital, Sendai, Japan; <sup>9</sup>FEA Oncología Médica, Medical Oncology Intercenter Unit, Regional and Virgen de la Victoria University Hospitals, IBIMA, Málaga, Spain; <sup>10</sup>Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France; <sup>11</sup>Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg (CHRU), Strasbourg, France; <sup>12</sup>Vitamed LLC, Moscow, Russia; <sup>13</sup>The Cancer Institute Hospital of JFCR, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>14</sup>Kindai University, Osaka, Japan; <sup>15</sup>Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>16</sup>University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA, USA; <sup>17</sup>Daiichi Sankyo, Inc, Basking Ridge, NJ, USA; <sup>18</sup>Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH, Munich, Germany; <sup>19</sup>Kyushu University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan




# Declaration of Interests

Aaron Lisberg

- **Consulting, lectures, or advisory role** at Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, Inc, AstraZeneca, Novocure, Eli Lilly, Oncocyte, Novartis, Regeneron, Janssen Oncology, MorphoSys, Sanofi group of companies, Molecular Axion, Amgen, IQVIA, G1 Therapeutics, Bristol Myers Squibb, Leica Biosystems, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, PlatformQ, HMP Global, MJH Associates, Med Learning Group, Clinical Care Options, Physicians' Education Resource, Curio Science, Vaniam Group, Medscape, Projects In Knowledge, Aptitude Health, MOASC, SITC
- **Grant/research support** from Daiichi Sankyo, Inc, Calithera, AstraZeneca, Dracen, WindMIL, Duality Biologics, eFFECTOR Therapeutics, LUNGeivity and NIH/NCI
- **Funding for the current study** provided by Daiichi Sankyo Inc, and AstraZeneca

# Background

- Standard-of-care, **second-line chemotherapy** for metastatic NSCLC is associated with a **modest benefit and substantial toxicity**
- **Dato-DXd** is a **TROP2-directed ADC** that selectively delivers a potent topoisomerase I inhibitor payload directly into tumor cells<sup>1</sup>
- **Promising antitumor activity** was seen with Dato-DXd in patients with adv/met NSCLC in the phase 1 TROPION-PanTumor01 trial (26% ORR)<sup>1</sup>



ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; adv/met, advanced/metastatic; Dato-DXd, datopotamab deruxtecan; IgG1, immunoglobulin G1; mAb, monoclonal antibody; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; ORR, objective response rate; TROP2, trophoblast cell-surface antigen 2.

1. Shimizu T, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2023;41:4678-4687. 2. Okajima D, et al. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2021;20:2329-2340. 3. Nakada T, et al. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)*. 2019;67:173-185. 4. Ogitani Y, et al. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2016;22:5097-5108. 5. Ogitani Y, et al. *Cancer Sci*. 2016;107:1039-1046.

# TROPION-Lung01 Study Design

Randomized, Phase 3, Open-Label, Global Study (NCT04656652)

## Key Eligibility Criteria

- NSCLC (stage IIIB, IIIC, or IV)
  - ECOG PS of 0 or 1
  - No prior docetaxel
- Without actionable genomic alterations<sup>a</sup>**
- 1 or 2 prior lines, including platinum CT and anti-PD-(L)1 mAb therapy
- With actionable genomic alterations**
- Positive for *EGFR*, *ALK*, *NTRK*, *BRAF*, *ROS1*, *MET* exon 14 skipping, or *RET*
  - 1 or 2 prior approved targeted therapies + platinum-based CT, and ≤1 anti-PD-(L)1 mAb

R 1:1

**Dato-DXd**  
6 mg/kg Q3W  
(N=299)

**Docetaxel**  
75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> Q3W  
(N=305)

## Dual Primary Endpoints

- PFS by BICR
- OS

## Secondary Endpoints

- ORR by BICR
- DOR by BICR
- Safety

**Stratified by:** histology,<sup>b</sup> actionable genomic alteration,<sup>c</sup> anti-PD-(L)1 mAb included in most recent prior therapy, geography<sup>d</sup>

Enrollment period: 19 February 2021 to 7 November 2022.

BICR, blinded independent central review; CT, chemotherapy; DOR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; mAb, monoclonal antibody; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PD-(L)1, programmed cell death 1 (ligand 1); PFS, progression-free survival; Q3W, every 3 weeks; R, randomized.

<sup>a</sup>Patients with *KRAS* mutations in the absence of known actionable genomic alterations are eligible; must meet prior therapy requirements for patients without actionable genomic alterations. <sup>b</sup>Squamous vs non-squamous.

<sup>c</sup>Presence vs absence. <sup>d</sup>United States/Japan/Western Europe vs rest of world.

# Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

Characteristic	Dato-DXd N=299	Docetaxel N=305	Characteristic	Dato-DXd N=299	Docetaxel N=305
<b>Age, median (range), years</b>	63 (26-84)	64 (24-88)	<b>Current or former smoker, n (%)</b>	238 (80)	251 (82)
<b>Male, n (%)</b>	183 (61)	210 (69)	<b>Actionable genomic alterations, n (%)</b>		
			Present	50 (17)	51 (17)
			<i>EGFR</i> mutation	39 (13)	45 (15)
<b>Race, n (%)</b>			<b>Brain metastasis at baseline, n (%)<sup>b</sup></b>	50 (17)	47 (15)
Asian	119 (40)	120 (39)			
White	123 (41)	126 (41)	<b>Prior lines of therapy, n (%)</b>		
Black or African American	6 (2)	4 (1)	1	167 (56)	174 (57)
Other <sup>a</sup>	51 (17)	55 (18)	2	108 (36)	102 (33)
<b>ECOG PS, n (%)</b>			≥3	22 (7)	28 (9)
0	89 (30)	94 (31)	<b>Previous systemic therapy, n (%)<sup>c</sup></b>		
1	210 (70)	211 (69)	Platinum containing	297 (99)	305 (100)
<b>Histology, n (%)</b>			Anti-PD-(L)1	263 (88)	268 (88)
Non-squamous	234 (78)	234 (77)	Targeted	46 (15)	50 (16)
Squamous	65 (22)	71 (23)			

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; PD-(L)1, programmed cell death 1 (ligand 1).

<sup>a</sup>Race data missing for 8 patients in each arm. <sup>b</sup>Patients who are no longer symptomatic and who require no treatment with corticosteroids and anticonvulsants and have recovered from acute toxic effects of radiation are eligible.

<sup>c</sup>In the Dato-DXd arm, 2 patients did not receive prior treatment with a platinum-containing therapy and 1 patient with actionable genomic alterations did not receive previous targeted therapy, deviating from the protocol.


Data cutoff: 29 March 2023.

# Patient Disposition

Disposition, n (%)	Dato-DXd N=297	Docetaxel N=290
<b>Treatment status</b>		
Ongoing on study treatment	52 (18)	17 (6)
Discontinued from study treatment	245 (83)	273 (94)
<b>Treatment duration</b>		
0-3 months	118 (40)	168 (58)
>3 to ≤6 months	73 (25)	66 (23)
>6 to ≤9 months	47 (16)	34 (12)
>9 months	59 (20)	22 (8)
<b>Primary reason for treatment discontinuation</b>		
Adverse event	39 (13)	46 (16)
Progressive disease	173 (58)	180 (62)
Clinical progression	9 (3)	11 (4)
Withdrawal/physician decision	12 (4)	23 (8)
Death	10 (3)	10 (3)
Other	2 (1)	3 (1)

Median study follow-up: Dato-DXd – **13.1** months; docetaxel – **13.0** months


# Progression-Free Survival: ITT



CR, complete response; DOR, duration of response; HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intention to treat; ORR, objective response rate; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response.

<sup>a</sup>Median PFS follow-up was 10.9 (95% CI, 9.8-12.5) and 9.6 (95% CI, 8.2-11.9) months for Dato-DXd and docetaxel, respectively. <sup>b</sup>Included 4 CRs and 75 PRs for Dato-DXd and 39 PRs for docetaxel.

# PFS in Key Subgroups




<sup>a</sup>Regardless of histology.



# PFS by Histology

## Non-squamous


(with and without AGAs)



No. at risk	Time since randomization, months									
	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18
Dato-DXd	229	178	134	86	68	41	20	7	1	0
Docetaxel	232	135	90	50	32	14	10	4	0	0

## Squamous

(with and without AGAs)




No. at risk	Time since randomization, months									
	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18
Dato-DXd	70	38	22	10	6	5	4	3	1	0
Docetaxel	73	51	30	13	10	5	4	3	0	0

**PFS HR for non-squamous without AGAs: 0.71 (0.56, 0.91)**

AGA, actionable genomic alteration; DOR, duration of response; HR, hazard ratio; ORR, objective response rate; PFS, progression-free survival.

Squamous subset included 3 patients with AGAs

# Interim Overall Survival: ITT



Median (95% CI), months <sup>a</sup>	Dato-DXd	Docetaxel
	12.4 (10.8-14.8)	11.0 (9.8-12.5)
HR	<b>0.90</b> (0.72-1.13)	

No. at risk	Time since randomization, months											
	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22
Dato-DXd	299	273	243	201	166	121	85	56	33	14	6	1
Docetaxel	305	273	239	193	156	115	76	42	29	13	4	1

Information fraction at interim analysis (events/total events required): **74%**.

**Non-squamous HR (95% CI): 0.77 (0.59-1.01); Squamous HR (95% CI): 1.32 (0.87-2.00)**

Trial is continuing to final OS analysis

HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intention to treat; OS, overall survival.

<sup>a</sup>Median OS follow-up was 11.8 (95% CI, 11.3-12.7) and 11.7 (95% CI, 10.9-12.9) months for Dato-DXd and docetaxel, respectively.

# Overall Safety Summary

TRAEs, n (%)	Dato-DXd N=297	Docetaxel N=290
<b>All grades</b>	257 (87)	252 (87)
Grade ≥3	73 (25)	120 (41)
<b>Associated with dose reduction</b>	58 (20)	85 (29)
<b>Associated with dose delay</b>	49 (17)	31 (11)
<b>Associated with discontinuation</b>	23 (8)	34 (12)
<b>Associated with death<sup>a</sup></b>	3 (1)	2 (1)
<b>Serious TRAEs</b>	30 (10)	36 (12)
Grade ≥3	25 (8)	33 (11)

ILD, interstitial lung disease; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

<sup>a</sup>Investigator assessed. Dato-DXd: 2 cases of ILD/pneumonitis and 1 case of sepsis; docetaxel: 1 case of ILD/pneumonitis and 1 case of septic shock.

The safety analysis set included all randomized patients who received ≥1 dose of the study drug.

- The median treatment durations for Dato-DXd and docetaxel were **4.2** and **2.8** months, respectively
- Fewer grade ≥3 TRAEs were observed with Dato-DXd compared with docetaxel
- Fewer TRAEs leading to dose reductions or discontinuations were seen with Dato-DXd compared with docetaxel

# TRAEs Occurring in $\geq 10\%$ of Patients

System organ class Preferred term, n (%)	Dato-DXd N=297		Docetaxel N=290	
	Any grade	Grade $\geq 3$	Any grade	Grade $\geq 3$
<b>Blood and lymphatic system</b>				
Anemia	43 (15)	11 (4)	59 (20)	11 (4)
Neutropenia <sup>a</sup>	12 (4)	2 (1)	76 (26)	68 (23)
<b>Gastrointestinal</b>				
Stomatitis	140 (47)	19 (6)	45 (16)	3 (1)
Nausea	100 (34)	7 (2)	48 (17)	3 (1)
Vomiting	38 (13)	3 (1)	22 (8)	1 (0.3)
Constipation	29 (10)	0	30 (10)	0
Diarrhea	28 (9)	1 (0.3)	55 (19)	4 (1)
<b>General</b>				
Asthenia	55 (19)	8 (3)	55 (19)	5 (2)
Fatigue	34 (11)	2 (1)	40 (14)	6 (2)
<b>Metabolism and nutrition</b>				
Decreased appetite	68 (23)	1 (0.3)	45 (16)	1 (0.3)
<b>Skin and subcutaneous</b>				
Alopecia	95 (32)	0	101 (35)	1 (0.3) <sup>b</sup>
Rash	36 (12)	0	18 (6)	0
Pruritus	30 (10)	0	12 (4)	0

- Stomatitis and nausea were the most frequent TRAEs seen with Dato-DXd and were predominantly grade 1 or 2
- Hematologic toxicities, including neutropenia and febrile neutropenia<sup>c</sup>, were more common with docetaxel
- No new safety signals were observed with Dato-DXd

TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

<sup>a</sup>This category includes the preferred terms "neutropenia" and "neutrophil count decreased". <sup>b</sup>Includes an event incorrectly reported as grade 3. <sup>c</sup>7% vs 0.3% for Docetaxel and Dato-DXd, respectively

# Adverse Events of Special Interest

AESI, n (%)	Dato-DXd N=297	Docetaxel N=290
<b>Stomatitis/oral mucositis<sup>a</sup></b>		
All grades	160 (54)	59 (20)
Grade ≥3	19 (6)	4 (1)
<b>Ocular events<sup>b</sup></b>		
All grades	57 (19)	27 (9)
Grade ≥3	5 (2) <sup>c</sup>	0
<b>Adjudicated drug-related ILD<sup>d</sup></b>		
All grades	25 (8)	12 (4)
Grade ≥3	10 (3)	4 (1)
Grade 5	7 (2)	1 (0.3)

- Stomatitis/oral mucositis associated with Dato-DXd resulted in a low rate of discontinuation (0.7%)
- Dry eye was the most common ocular event seen with Dato-DXd (6.1%; primarily grade ≤2), followed by increased lacrimation (5.4%)
- Seven adjudicated drug-related grade 5 ILD events
  - Primary cause of death in 4 out of 7 was attributed to disease progression by investigator
  - Non-squamous: 4 of 232 patients (1.7%); Squamous: 3 of 65 patients (4.6%)<sup>e</sup>
- IRRs were observed in 8% of patients in each arm, all were grade ≤2 with the exception of 1 grade 3 event with Dato-DXd

AESI, adverse event of special interest; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IRR, infusion-related reaction; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; PT, preferred term; SMQ, standardized MedDRA query; SOC, system organ class. AESIs listed in this slide are treatment emergent and include all PTs that define the medical concept.

<sup>a</sup>Events included the selected PTs oral mucositis/stomatitis, oropharyngeal pain, mouth ulceration, odynophagia, dysphagia, oral pain, glossitis, pharyngeal inflammation, aphthous ulcer, and oral mucosa erosion. <sup>b</sup>Ocular events included selected PTs from the corneal disorder SMQ and selected relevant PTs from the eye disorder SOC. <sup>c</sup>Included 4 cases of keratitis and 1 case of ulcerative keratitis. <sup>d</sup>ILD includes events that were adjudicated as ILD and related to use of Dato-DXd or docetaxel (includes cases of potential ILD/pneumonitis based on MedDRA v26.0 for the narrow ILD SMQ, selected terms from the broad ILD SMQ, and PTs of respiratory failure and acute respiratory failure). <sup>e</sup>Among treated patients, histology information per the case report form.

# Conclusions

- Dato-DXd is the first ADC to demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in PFS over docetaxel in patients with previously treated, locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC
- PFS benefit was primarily driven by patients with non-squamous histology
- Fewer grade  $\geq 3$  TRAEs and no new safety signals were observed with Dato-DXd
- Grade  $\geq 3$  ILD was seen, highlighting the need for careful monitoring and adherence to ILD management guidelines
- The interim OS findings favor Dato-DXd, and the trial is continuing to final analysis

**Dato-DXd is a potential new meaningful therapy for patients with previously treated non-squamous NSCLC**

ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; ILD, interstitial lung disease; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

# Acknowledgments

Please scan this quick response (QR) code with your smartphone camera or app to obtain additional materials. Alternatively, please use the link below.



Copies of this presentation obtained through QR, AR, and/or text key codes are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors.

[bit.ly/DS2023ESMO](https://bit.ly/DS2023ESMO)

On behalf of my co–first author Dr Myung-Ju Ahn and the TROPION-Lung01 investigators, we thank the patients, their families, and their caregivers for their participation and the study staff for their contributions. This study is sponsored by Daiichi Sankyo, Inc. In July 2020, Daiichi Sankyo entered into a global development and commercialization collaboration agreement with AstraZeneca for datopotamab deruxtecan (Dato-DXd).

We also thank members of the Daiichi Sankyo study team for their valuable contributions to the study conduct and analyses.

Medical writing support was provided by Ari Simenauer, PhD, and Melanie Vishnu, PhD, of Nucleus Global, and was funded by Daiichi Sankyo, Inc. Editorial support was provided in accordance with Good Publication Practice guidelines ([ismpp.org/gpp-2022](https://ismpp.org/gpp-2022)).